



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/876,354 | 06/06/2001 | Jody L. Terrill | 1006137-1 | 9168 | |
| 7: | 7590 03/24/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | WU, QING YUAN | | |
| Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Fort Collins, C | Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2194 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| M |
|---|
| |
| |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cumment | 09/876,354 | TERRILL ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Qing-Yuan Wu | 2194 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/25 | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/25/04. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-20,22-33 and 35-37</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, and 35-37 is/are r | rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | · 9 | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | (i 10 102) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, and 35-37 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following terms are not clearly defined:
 - i. As per claims 7-8, it is uncertain whether "the unique identifier" refers to "a unique job identifier" as stated in claim 1, line 7 (i.e. if they are the same then "the unique job identifier" should be used throughout all the claims).
 - ii. As per claims 7-9, it is uncertain whether "the unique identifier, the preprint information and the post-print information" are correlated prior to sending (i.e. are these the correlated information?).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2194

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Kujirai et al (hereafter Kujirai) (US Patent 6,618,566), and further in view of Kassan et al (hereafter Kassan) (US PG Pub 20020161717A1).
- 6. As to claim 1, AAPA teaches a computer-implemented method comprising:

 obtaining pre-print information about the print job [AAPA, pg. 1, line 25];

 obtaining post-print information about the print job [AAPA, pg. 1, line 26-pg. 2, line 4];

 correlating the pre-print information and the post-print information [AAPA, pg. 1, line 23].
- AAPA does not specifically teach the step of associating a print job with a unique job identifier prior to sending the job to a printing device. However, Kujirai teaches associating a job identifier with a print job when spooling the print job in the spooler (prior to sending the job to a printing device) [Kujirai, col. 7, lines 24-51]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have combined the teaching of AAPA with the teaching of Kujirai because the teaching of Kujirai would improve the ease of identifying a print job with its corresponding job identifier.

Art Unit: 2194

8. Furthermore AAPA does not specifically teach correlating using the unique job identifier. However, Kassan teaches correlating information based on an identifier [Kassan, pg. 9, paragraph 173]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have combined the teaching of AAPA with the teaching of Kassan because the teaching of Kassan can improve the correlating print information by simply matching/correlate print information based on the same identifier.

- 9. As to claim 2, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the pre-print information is received from an operating system [AAPA, pg. 1, lines 25-26].
- 10. As to claim 3, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the post-print information is obtained from a peripheral [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].
- 11. As to claim 4, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 3 above. In addition, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach a facsimile machine. However, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have include a facsimile machine because it provides similar functionality as a printer.

Art Unit: 2194

12. As to claim 5, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach SNMP Gets. However, AAPA as modified disclosed an application in a management server that obtains the post-print information from the printer's job table [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have included various means of obtaining post-print information.

- 13. As to claim 6, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including storing the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].
- 14. As to claim 7, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach sending the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information to a job table on a peripheral. However, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to send the collected/correlated information to a different storage device for temporary storage prior to sending it to the management server.
- 15. As to claim 8, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including sending the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information to a management server [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].

Art Unit: 2194

- 16. As to claims 9-11, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach transferring the preprint information and the post-print information to a management server upon realization of a threshold, wherein the threshold is selected from a group of thresholds comprising an elapsed time threshold, a storage level threshold and a print job quantity threshold, and adjusting a value at which the threshold triggers the transfer of data. However, AAPA as modified disclosed collecting pre-print and post-print information [pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have recognized the limited storage capacity of any storage medium and the importance of backing up essential information upon realization of reaching a certain threshold, adjusting the threshold.
- 17. As to claims 12-14, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach polling a peripheral to determine if the peripheral has finished with the print job, and polling step comprises varying the rate of polling as the peripheral works on the print job, and requesting the peripheral to send a trap with print information. However, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have recognized that post-print information cannot be obtained unless the print job is completed and provide a mean of communicating the completion.
- 18. As to claim 16, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In addition, AAPA as modified teaches sending the print job to a printer [Kujirai, col. 12, lines 51-53].

 AAPA as modified does not specifically teach configuring a port monitor with a management server. However, AAPA as modified disclosed the method as recited in claim 1. It would have

Art Unit: 2194

been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have include or configure a module to facilitate various task for the management server.

- 19. As to claim 17, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 9 and 16 above.
- 20. As to claim 18, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach generating a user interface on the management server that is supported by HTML. However, AAPA as modified disclosed an application for collecting pre-print and post-print information [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have include the user interface supported by HTML because it's well know in the art that it would improve the versatility by providing cross platform compatibility.
- 21. As to claims 19-20, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 4, and 12-13 above.
- 22. As to claims 22 and 31, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-2, 4, 16, and 19 above.
- 23. As to claim 23, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 20 above.
- 24. As to claims 24-26, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 9-11 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,354

Art Unit: 2194

25. As to claims 27-30, these are system claims for performing method claims 1-2, 16 and

Page 8

22. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-2, 16 and 22 above.

26. As to claims 32-33, these are computer readable media having computer readable instructions claims that correspond to the method claims 4, and 12-13. Therefore, they are

rejected for the same reason as claims 12-13 above.

27. As to claims 35-37, these are computer-readable medium having computer-readable instructions and computer having a processor capable of reading a computer readable medium claims that correspond to the method claims 1, 16, and 31. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claims 1, 16, and 31 above.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qing-Yuan Wu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Qing-Yuan Wu

Examiner

Art Unit 2194

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100